UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,084	0/629,084 07/29/2003		Etienne Demeocq	886-011434-US(PAR)	5973
2512	7590	02/23/2005		EXAM	INER
PERMAN		N	VERBITSKY, GAIL KAPLAN		
425 POST R FAIRFIELD		324	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2859	
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/629,084	DEMEOCQ, ETIENNE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gail Verbitsky	2859				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	November 2004.					
,— .	is action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1 and 6-9 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2,4-5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	. 🗂	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/629,084 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-5 are finally objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 2: " an input" in line 5, "a summation circuit" in line 6, "a scaling circuit" in line 7, "a linearization circuit" in line 9, "the cable connection" in line 8, "the summer circuit" in line 8, "the ambient temperature sensor" in lines 7-8 lack antecedent basis.

Claim 3: perhaps applicant should replace "a second cable connection post is" in line 2 with –a second connection post is a cable connection post

<u>Claims 2-5:</u> "Claim" in line 1 should be replaced with –claim--, because only the first letter of the claim can be capitalized.

Claim 2: it appears that, as claim 1 being amended now, claim 2 is redundant to claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 3 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In this case,

<u>Claim 3</u>: the claim language is confusing because it is not clear if "a second cable connection post" is one of the "three connection posts" of claim 1. Furthermore, please note, that in the rejection on the merits, the examiner considers that "a second cable connection post" is one the "three connection posts" claimed in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,084 Page 3

Art Unit: 2859

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2, 4-5 are objected. Claims 1, 6-9 are allowed. Claim 3 is rejected (see paragraph 3).

Information Disclosure Statement

5. The information disclosure statement filed on October 02, 2003 has been considered.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed November 24, 2004 have been considered and found to be persuasive, however, applicant did not address claim objection and 112 rejection. Therefore, claim objection and 112 rejection from the previous Office Action herein remain.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/629,084

Art Unit: 2859

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited in the PTO-892 and not mentioned above disclose related devices and methods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner Verbitsky who can be reached at (571) 272-2253 Monday through Friday 8:00 to 4:00 ET.

GKV

Gail Verbitsky

Primary Patent Examiner, TC 2800

Page 4

Welletter

February 14, 2005